



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Peter van Dalen

Examiner: Yves Dalencourt

Serial No.: 10/070,497

Group Art Unit: 2157

Filed: March 7, 2002

Title: METHOD FOR E-MAIL COMMUNICATION, APPARATUS THEREFOR AND  
USE OF SAID METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ELECTRONIC METERING  
AND FOR HOME AUTOMATION

**REPLY**

Mail Stop  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Sir:

Responsive to the office action of December 1, 2005, applicants hereby elect group I as defined on page 2 of the office action, with traverse.

The examiner indicates that a full examination of all four groups would require only the searching of three additional subclasses, one per additional group. This does not constitute an undue searching burden which would justify the restriction requirement. Thus, the restriction requirement fails to comply with the rules and the MPEP. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that all claims should be examined.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Anthony J. Zelano, Reg. No. 27,969  
Attorney for Applicant(s)

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO  
& BRANIGAN, P.C.  
Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1, Suite 1400  
2200 Clarendon Boulevard  
Arlington, Virginia 22201  
Telephone: (703) 243-6333  
Facsimile: (703) 243-6410

Attorney Docket No.: DCLERC-0003

Date: December 15, 2005

AJZ:hlw K:\Dclerc\3\Restriction Response 12.15.05.doc